

REMARKS

Claims 2 to 4; 6 to 11; 15; 17; and 19 remain in the application. Among these, claim 15 is the sole independent method claim. Claims 12, 13, and 14 stand withdrawn, subject to reinstatement upon allowance of a generic claim.

Claim 15 stands rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting based upon US 7,291,168. Claims 2 to 4; 6 to 11; 17; and 19 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.


A Terminal Disclaimer accompanies this Response, thereby overcoming the rejection of claim 15. Dependent claims 2 to 4; 6 to 11; 17; and 19 are therefore believed to be allowable.

Applicant believes claim 15 is generic and, accordingly, reinstatement of withdrawn claims 12; 13; and 14 is warranted.

Allowance of claims 2 to 4; 6 to 11 to 15; 17; and 19 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

By _____


Daniel D. Ryan
Registration No. 29,243

RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.
Post Office Box 26618
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53226
(262) 783 - 1300
Customer No.: 26308